

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION**

REV. CALVIN WARREN,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	No. 4:13CV2339 JAR
)	
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Over the past few months, plaintiff has filed numerous delusional and frivolous cases in this Court regarding a religiously-motivated conspiracy against his family.¹ Plaintiff alleges in this action that the “ungodly” are stalking him and conspiring against him and his family.

The Court previously warned plaintiff that it would not allow him to proceed in forma pauperis if he continued to bring the same frivolous lawsuits again and again. *Warren v. Federal Gov’t*, 4:13-CV-1878-CEJ (E.D. Mo.). This case is no different from

¹See *Warren v. Federal Gov’t*, No. 4:13-CV-1465-CEJ (E.D. Mo. 2013); *Warren v. Federal Gov’t*, No. 4:13-CV-1560-RWS (E.D. Mo. 2013); *Warren v. Federal Gov’t*, No. 4:13-CV-1570-JAR (E.D. Mo. 2013); *Warren v. Federal Gov’t*, No. 4:13-CV-1634-HEA (E.D. Mo. 2013); *Warren v. Federal Gov’t*, No. 4:13-CV-1643-AGF (E.D. Mo. 2013); *Warren v. Federal Gov’t*, No. 4:13-CV-1780-CEJ (E.D. Mo. 2013); *Warren v. Federal Gov’t*, No. 4:13-CV-1878-CEJ (E.D. Mo. 2013); *Warren v. Federal Gov’t*, No. 4:13-CV-1906-TIA (E.D. Mo. 2013); *Warren v. Federal Gov’t*, No. 4:13-CV-1998-SNLJ (E.D. Mo. 2013); *Warren v. Federal Gov’t*, No. 4:13-CV-2069-SPM (E.D. Mo. 2013).

his previous cases. Consequently, the Court will deny plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis and will dismiss this action without prejudice to refiling as a fully-paid complaint. *See In re Tyler*, 839 F.2d 1290, 1292 (8th Cir. 1988) ("Judicial resources are limited in the short run and need to be protected from wasteful consumption. Frivolous, bad faith claims consume a significant amount of judicial resources, diverting the time and energy of the judiciary away from processing good faith claims.").


Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis [ECF No. 2] is **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice.

A separate Order of Dismissal will be filed contemporaneously.

Dated this 21st day of November, 2013.



JOHN A. ROSS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE